arXiv:1610.08105v1 [math.RT] 25 Oct 2016

Solvability, Structure and Analysis for Minimal Parabolic Subgroups

Joseph A. Wolf*

25 October 2016

Abstract

We examine the structure of the Levi component MA in a minimal parabolic subgroup $P = MAN$ of a real reductive Lie group G, work out the cases where M is commutative, verify that P is solvable if and only if M is commutative, and work out the Plancherel Theorem and Fourier Inversion Formula in those cases.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
2 Algebra and Group Structure **2**
3 Stepwise Square Integrable Representations of the Nilradical **4**
4 Generic Representations of the Parabolic **5**
5 Fourier Inversion on the Parabolic **7**
6 Appendix: Explicit Decompositions **8**
References **12**

1 Introduction

Let G be a real reductive Lie group and $P = MAN$ a minimal parabolic subgroup. Later we will be more precise about conditions on the structure of G, but first we recall the *unitary principal series* representations of G. They are the induced representations $\pi_{\chi,\nu,\sigma} = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\eta_{\chi,\nu,\sigma})$ defined as follows. First, ν is the highest weight of an irreducible representation η_ν of the identity component M^0 of M. Second, χ is an irreducible representation of the M–centralizer $Z_M(M^0)$ that agrees with η_ν on the center $Z_{M^0} = Z_M(M^0) \cap M^0$ of M^0. Third, σ is a linear functional on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of A, in other words $e^{i\sigma}$ is a unitary character on A. Write $\eta_{\chi,\nu}$ for the representation $\chi \otimes \eta_\nu$ of M, and let $\eta_{\chi,\nu,\sigma}$ denote the representation $man \mapsto e^{i\sigma}(a)\eta_{\chi,\nu}(m)$ of P. This data defines the principal series representation $\pi_{\chi,\nu,\sigma} = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\eta_{\chi,\nu,\sigma})$ of G.

Now consider a variation in which an irreducible unitary representation of N is incorporated. A few years ago, we described Plancherel almost all of the unitary dual $\widehat{N}$ in terms of strongly orthogonal roots ([11], [12]). Using those "stepwise square integrable" representations π_λ of N we arrive at representations $\eta_{\chi,\nu,\sigma,\lambda}$ of P and $\pi_{\chi,\nu,\sigma,\lambda} = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\eta_{\chi,\nu,\sigma,\lambda})$ of G. The representations $\pi_{\chi,\nu,\sigma,\lambda}$ have not yet been studied, at least in terms of their relation to tempered representations and harmonic analysis on G. In this paper we lay some of the groundwork for that study.

*Research partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation

Clearly this all this is much simpler when M is commutative. In that case there is a better chance of finding a clear relation between the $\pi_{\chi,\nu,\sigma,\lambda}$ and the tempered representation theory of G. In this paper we see just when M is commutative. Commutativity of M turns out to be equivalent to solvability of P, and that leads to a straightforward construction both of the Plancherel Formula and the Fourier Inversion Formula for P and of the principal series representations of G. It would also be interesting to see whether solvability of P simplifies the operator–theoretic formulation [1] formulation of stepwise square integrability.

It will be obvious to the reader that if any parabolic subgroup of a real Lie group has commutative Levi component, then that parabolic is a minimal parabolic. For this reason we only deal with minimal parabolics.

The concept of "stepwise square integrable" representation is basic to this note and to many of the references after 2012. It came out of conversations with Maria Laura Barberis concerning application of square integrability [7] to her work with Isabel Dotti on abelian complex structures.

2 Algebra and Group Structure

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real reductive Lie algebra. In other words $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ where $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is semisimple and $\mathfrak{z}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. As usual, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$, so $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{z}_{\mathbb{C}}$ direct sum of the respective complexifications of $\mathfrak{g}'$ and $\mathfrak{z}$. Choose a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and decompose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{s}$ into (± 1)–eigenspaces of θ. Fix a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{s}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the $\mathfrak{k}$–centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$, so $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$ is a "maximally split" Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We denote root systems by $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Choose consistent positive root subsystems $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. In other words, if $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ then $\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ if and only if either (i) $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} \neq 0$ and $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$, or (ii) $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ and $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}} \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$. We write $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\Psi(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ for the corresponding simple root systems. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\psi|_{\mathfrak{a}} \mid \psi \in \Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}) \text{ and } \psi|_{\mathfrak{a}} \neq 0\} \text{ and} \\ &\Psi(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{\psi|_{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}} \mid \psi \in \Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}) \text{ and } \psi|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the underlying structure of a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ then $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{l} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{l}}$ and $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the union of the simple root systems of the two summands. In that case $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ looks like the simple root system of $\mathfrak{l}$, but with every root of multiplicity 2.

On the group level, the centralizer $Z_G(\mathfrak{a}) = Z_G(A) = M \times A$ where $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ and $M = Z_K(A)$.

Lemma 2.2 *The following conditions are equivalent:* (1) *if* $\alpha \in \Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ *then* $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} \neq 0$, (2) *the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{m}$ *of* M *is abelian, and* (3) $\mathfrak{m}$ *is solvable.*

Proof. If (1) fails we have $\psi \in \Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $\psi|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$, so $0 \neq \psi|_{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}} \in \Psi(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains the 3–dimensional simple Lie algebra with simple root $\psi|_{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}}$, and (3) fails. If (3) fails then (2) fails. Finally suppose that (2) fails. Since $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})} \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$, the root system $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is not empty. In particular $\Psi(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}) \neq \emptyset$, and (2.1) provides $\psi \in \Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$, and (1) fails. □

Decompose the derived algebra as a direct sum of simple ideals, $\mathfrak{g}' = \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}_i$. Then the minimal parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ decompose as direct sums $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \bigoplus \mathfrak{p}_i$ where $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{m}_i + \mathfrak{a}_i + \mathfrak{n}_i$ is a minimal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_i$. Thus $\mathfrak{m}$ is abelian (resp. solvable) if and only if each of the $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is abelian (resp. solvable). The classification of real reductive Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{m}$ abelian (resp. solvable) is thus reduced to the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. This includes the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the underlying real structure of a complex simple Lie algebra.

The Satake diagram for $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the Dynkin diagram, using the arrow convention rather than the black dot convention, with the following modifications. If ψ' and ψ'' have the same nonzero restriction to

$\mathfrak{a}$ then the corresponding nodes on the diagram are joined by a two–ended arrow. In the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is complex this joins two roots that are complex conjugates of each other. If $\psi|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ then the corresponding node on the diagram is changed from a circle to a black dot. Condition (1) of Lemma 2.2 says that the Satake diagram for $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ has no black dots. Combining the classification with Lemma 2.2 we arrive at

Theorem 2.3 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple real Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ be a minimal parabolic subalgebra. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$, so $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$ is a maximally split Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent*: (i) *$\mathfrak{m}$ is abelian,* (ii) *$\mathfrak{m}$ is solvable,* (iii) *$\mathfrak{a}$ contains a regular element of $\mathfrak{g}$,* (iv) *$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a Borel subalgebra stable under complex conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $\mathfrak{g}$, and* (v) *$\mathfrak{g}$ appears on the following list.*

1. *Cases $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = 0$* (called split real forms or Cartan normal forms): *$\mathfrak{g}$ is one of $\mathfrak{sl}(\ell+1;\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{so}(\ell,\ell+1)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(\ell;\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{so}(\ell,\ell)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{2,A_1A_1}$, $\mathfrak{f}_{4,A_1C_3}$, $\mathfrak{e}_{6,C_4}$, $\mathfrak{e}_{7,A_7}$ or $\mathfrak{e}_{8,D_8}$. Here $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$, and the restricted roots all have multiplicity 1.*

2. *Cases where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the underlying real structure of a complex simple Lie algebra*: *$\mathfrak{g}$ is one of $\mathfrak{sl}(\ell+1;\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{so}(2\ell+1;\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{sp}(\ell;\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{so}(2\ell;\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{g}_2$, $\mathfrak{f}_4$, $\mathfrak{e}_6$, $\mathfrak{e}_7$ or $\mathfrak{e}_8$. Here $\mathfrak{h} = i\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = i\mathfrak{a}$, and the restricted roots all have multiplicity 2.*

3. *Four remaining cases*:

   (3*a*) *$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(\ell,\ell+1)$ with Satake diagram*  *. In this case $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type B_ℓ, the long indivisible roots have multiplicity 2, the short indivisible roots have multiplicity 1, and the divisible roots also have multiplicity 1.*

   (3*b*) *$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(\ell,\ell)$ with Satake diagram*  *. In this case $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type C_ℓ, the long restricted roots have multiplicity 1, and the short ones have multiplicity 2.*

   (3*c*) *$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(\ell-1,\ell+1)$ with Satake diagram*  *. In this case $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type $B_{\ell-1}$, the long restricted roots have multiplicity 1, and the short ones have multiplicity 2.*

   (3*d*) *$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_{6,A_1A_5}$ with Satake diagram*  *. In this case $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type F_4, the long restricted roots have multiplicity 1, and the short ones have multiplicity 2.*

(*These real Lie algebras are often called the* Steinberg normal forms *of their complexifications.*)

Corollary 2.4 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple real Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ be a minimal parabolic subalgebra with $\mathfrak{m}$ abelian. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$, so $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$ is a maximally split Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

If $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{su}(\ell,\ell+1)$ then $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is nonmultipliable, in other words if $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ then $2\alpha \notin \Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$.

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(\ell,\ell+1)$  *, let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2\ell}$ be the simple roots of $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in the usual order and $\psi_i = \alpha_i|_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then the multipliable roots in $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ are just the $\frac{1}{2}\beta_u = (\psi_u + \ldots \psi_\ell) = (\alpha_u + \cdots + \alpha_\ell)|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for $1 \leqq u \leqq \ell$; there $\beta_u = 2(\psi_u + \cdots + \psi_\ell) = (\alpha_u + \cdots + \alpha_{2\ell-u+1})|_{\mathfrak{a}}$.*

Now we start to move the commutativity criterion from $\mathfrak{m}$ to M.

Lemma 2.5 *Let G be a connected linear real reductive Lie group and $P = MAN$ a minimal parabolic subgroup. Then M is abelian if and only if $\mathfrak{m}$ is abelian.*

Proof. If M is abelian then its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is abelian. Conversely suppose that $\mathfrak{m}$ is abelian. The conditions on G ensure that it is contained in its complexification, a connected linear complex reductive Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. From Theorem 2.3 we know that $\mathfrak{a}$ contains a regular element of $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subgroup, hence connected and abelian. The intersection of that centralizer with G is MA, so now MA is abelian, and thus M is abelian. □

Theorem 2.6 *Let G be a connected real reductive Lie group and $P = MAN$ a minimal parabolic subgroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:* (1) *M is abelian,* (2) *M is solvable, and* (3) *P is solvable.*

Proof. Assertions (1) ⇒ (2) ⇒ (3) are immediate so we need only prove (3) ⇒ (1). If P is solvable then M is solvable so $\mathfrak{m}$ is abelian by Theorem 2.3 and its identity component M^0 is abelian. But $MA = Z_G \cdot (\exp(i\mathfrak{a}) \cap M) \cdot A = Z_G \cdot (\exp(i\mathfrak{a}) \cap MA) \cdot M^0 \cdot A$ where Z_G is the center of G. Thus MA is abelian so M is abelian. □

3 Stepwise Square Integrable Representations of the Nilradical

In this section we recall the background for Fourier Inversion on connected simply connected nilpotent Lie groups, and its application to nilradicals of minimal parabolics. In Section 4 we use it to describe "generic" representations and the Plancherel Formula on the minimal parabolic. Then in Section 5 we come to the Fourier Inversion Formula on the parabolic. In the last section (the Appendix) we will run through the cases of Theorem 2.3 making explicit the Plancherel Formula and Fourier Inversion Formula for those nilradicals.

The basic decomposition is

(3.1)
$$\begin{aligned} N =& L_1 L_2 \dots L_m \text{ where} \\ &\text{(a) each factor } L_r \text{ has unitary representations that are square integrable modulo its center } Z_r\,, \\ &\text{(b) each } L_r = L_1 L_2 \dots L_r \text{ is a normal subgroup of } N \text{ with } N_r = N_{r-1} \rtimes L_r \text{ semidirect,} \\ &\text{(c) decompose } \mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{z}_r + \mathfrak{v}_r \text{ and } \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{v} \text{ as vector direct sums where} \\ &\qquad \mathfrak{s} = \oplus\, \mathfrak{z}_r \text{ and } \mathfrak{v} = \oplus\, \mathfrak{v}_r;\ \text{then } [\mathfrak{l}_r, \mathfrak{z}_s] = 0 \text{ and } [\mathfrak{l}_r, \mathfrak{l}_s] \subset \mathfrak{v} \text{ for } r > s\,. \end{aligned}$$

We will need the notation

(3.2)
$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(a) } d_r = \tfrac{1}{2}\dim(\mathfrak{l}_r/\mathfrak{z}_r) \text{ so } \tfrac{1}{2}\dim(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{s}) = d_1 + \dots + d_m\,, \text{ and } c = 2^{d_1+\dots+d_m} d_1!d_2!\dots d_m! \\ &\text{(b) } b_{\lambda_r} : (x,y) \mapsto \lambda([x,y]) \text{ viewed as a bilinear form on } \mathfrak{l}_r/\mathfrak{z}_r \\ &\text{(c) } S = Z_1 Z_2 \dots Z_m = Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_m \text{ where } Z_r \text{ is the center of } L_r \\ &\text{(d) } P:\ \text{polynomial } P(\lambda) = \mathrm{Pf}(b_{\lambda_1})\mathrm{Pf}(b_{\lambda_2})\dots\mathrm{Pf}(b_{\lambda_m}) \text{ on } \mathfrak{s}^* \\ &\text{(e) } \mathfrak{t}^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{s}^* \mid P(\lambda) \neq 0\} \\ &\text{(f) } \pi_\lambda \in \widehat{N} \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*:\ \text{irreducible unitary rep. of } N = L_1 L_2 \dots L_m\,. \end{aligned}$$

As $\exp : \mathfrak{n} \to N$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism, the Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}(N)$ consists of all C^∞ functions f on N such that $f \cdot \exp \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$, the classical Schwartz space of all rapidly decreasing C^∞ functions on the real vector space $\mathfrak{n}$. The general result, which we will specialize, is [11]

Theorem 3.3 *Let N be a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group that satisfies* (3.1)*. Then Plancherel measure for N is concentrated on $\{\pi_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*\}$. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, and if u and v belong to the representation space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda}$ of π_λ, then the coefficient $f_{u,v}(x) = \langle u, \pi_\nu(x)v\rangle$ satisfies*

$$||f_{u,v}||^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(N/S)} = \frac{||u||^2||v||^2}{|P(\lambda)|}\,. \tag{3.4}$$

The distribution character Θ_{π_λ} of π_λ satisfies

$$\Theta_{\pi_\lambda}(f) = c^{-1}|P(\lambda)|^{-1}\int_{\mathcal{O}(\lambda)} \widehat{f_1}(\xi)d\nu_\lambda(\xi) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{C}(N) \tag{3.5}$$

where c is given by (3.2)(a), *$\mathcal{C}(N)$ is the Schwartz space, f_1 is the lift $f_1(\xi) = f(\exp(\xi))$, $\widehat{f_1}$ is its classical Fourier transform, $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ is the coadjoint orbit $\mathrm{Ad}^*(N)\lambda = \mathfrak{v}^* + \lambda$, and $d\nu_\lambda$ is the translate of normalized Lebesgue measure from $\mathfrak{v}^*$ to $\mathrm{Ad}^*(N)\lambda$. The Plancherel Formula on N is*

$$\mathcal{L}^2(N) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_\lambda}|P(\lambda)|d\lambda \text{ where } \mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda} \text{ is the representation space of } \pi_\lambda \tag{3.6}$$

and the Fourier Inversion Formula is

$$f(x) = c\int_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \Theta_{\pi_\lambda}(r_x f)|P(\lambda)|d\lambda \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{C}(N) \text{ with } c \text{ as in } (3.2)(a). \tag{3.7}$$

Definition 3.8 The representations π_λ of (3.2(f)) are the *stepwise square integrable* representations of N relative to (3.1). ♢

Nilradicals of minimal parabolics fit this pattern as follows. Start with the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. Here we use the root order of (2.1) so $\mathfrak{n}$ is the sum of the positive $\mathfrak{a}$–root spaces in $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is a root system, if $\gamma \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\gamma|_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ then $\gamma \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Define β_1 to be the maximal root, β_{r+1} a maximum among the positive roots orthogonal to $\{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_r\}$, etc. This constructs a maximal set $\{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m\}$ of strongly orthogonal positive restricted roots. For $1 \leqq r \leqq m$ define

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_1^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a}) \mid \beta_1 - \alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})\} \text{ and}\\ &\Delta_{r+1}^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a}) \setminus (\Delta_1^+ \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_r^+) \mid \beta_{r+1} - \alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Then

$$\Delta_r^+ \cup \{\beta_r\} = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \mid \alpha \perp \beta_i \text{ for } i < r \text{ and } \langle\alpha,\beta_r\rangle > 0\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Note: if β_r is divisible then $\frac{1}{2}\beta_r \in \Delta_r^+$; See Corollary 2.4. Now define

$$\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} + \sum\nolimits_{\Delta_r^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \text{ for } 1 \leqq r \leqq m. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{n}$ has an increasing foliation by ideals

$$\mathfrak{n}_r = \mathfrak{l}_1 + \mathfrak{l}_2 + \cdots + \mathfrak{l}_r \text{ for } 1 \leqq r \leqq m. \tag{3.12}$$

The corresponding group level decomposition $N = L_1L_2\dots L_m$ and the semidirect product decompositions $N_r = N_{r-1} \rtimes L_r$ satisfy all the requirements of (3.1).

4 Generic Representations of the Parabolic

In this section $\mathfrak{m}$ is abelian. In other words we are in the setting of Theorems 2.6 and 3.3. Recall $\mathfrak{t}^* = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m) \in \mathfrak{s}^* \mid \text{ each } \lambda_r \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} \text{ with } \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathfrak{l}_r}(\lambda_r) \neq 0\}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we have the stepwise square integrable representation π_λ of N. Now we look at the corresponding representations of MAN.

Theorem 2.6 shows that $\mathrm{Ad}(MA)$ is commutative. Also, $\mathrm{Ad}(MA)$ acts $\mathbb{C}$–irreducibly on each complexified restricted root space $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}$ by [9, Theorem 8.3.13] . But $\mathrm{Ad}(MA)$ preserves each $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, so it is irreducible there. Thus, for each $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$, either $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M^0)$ is trivial on $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$, or $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M^0)$ is nontrivial on $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 2$. In the latter case $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M^0)|_{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}$ must be the circle group of all proper rotations of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. A glance at Theorem 2.3 makes this more explicit on the $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$.

Lemma 4.1 *In cases* (1) *and* (3) *of* Theorem 2.3*, each* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} = 1$*, so* $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M^0)$ *is trivial on each* $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$*. In case* (2) *each* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} = 2$*, so* $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M^0)$ *acts on* $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$ *as a circle group* $SO(2)$*.*

Combining [12, Lemma 3.4] with [12, Proposition 3.6] and specializing to the case of $\mathfrak{m}$ abelian, we have

Lemma 4.2 *Let* $F = \exp i\mathfrak{a} \cap K$*. Then* $M = FZ_G M^0$ *where* Z_G *is the center of* G*. Further,* F *is an elementary abelian* 2*–subgroup of* M *and* $\mathrm{Ad}^*(F)$ *acts trivially on* $\mathfrak{s}^*$*.*

Now combine Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2:

Proposition 4.3 *In cases* (1) *and* (3) *of* Theorem 2.3*,* $\mathrm{Ad}(M)^*$ *is trivial on* $\mathfrak{s}^*$*. In case* (2) *of* Theorem 2.3*,* $\mathrm{Ad}(M)^*$ *acts nontrivially as a circle group on each* $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\beta_r}$*, thus acts almost–effectively as a torus group on* $\mathfrak{s}^*$*.*

Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Following Proposition 4.3 its $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M)$–stabilizer is all of M in cases (1) and (3) of Theorem 2.3, and in case (2) it has form

$$M_\diamond = FZ_G M^0_\diamond \text{ where } M^0_\diamond = \{m \in M^0 \mid \mathrm{Ad}(m)|_{\mathfrak{s}} = 1\}. \tag{4.4}$$

This is independent of the choice of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Then the kernel of the action of $\mathrm{Ad}(M^0)$ on $\mathfrak{s}^*$ is the codimension m subtorus of M^0 with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}^0 = \sqrt{-1}\{\xi \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \text{ every } \beta_r(\xi) = 0\}$.

Since $\mathrm{Ad}^*(A)$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$ by positive real scalars, given by the real character e^{β_r}, we have a similar result for A: the $\mathrm{Ad}^*(A)$–stabilizer of any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is

$$A_\diamond = \{\exp(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ and every } \beta_r(\xi) = 0\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{a}_\diamond = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \text{ every } \beta_r(\xi) = 0\}$. Combining (4.4) and (4.5) we arrive at

Lemma 4.6 *The stepwise square integrable representations* π_λ *of* N *all have the same* MA*–stabilizer* $M_\diamond A_\diamond$ *on the unitary dual* $\widehat{N}$*.*

Specialize [12, Lemma 3.8] and [12, Lemma 5.4] to π_λ and $M_\diamond A_\diamond N$. The Mackey obstruction ([3], [5], or see [4]) vanishes as in [6] and [8]. Now π_λ extends to an irreducible unitary representation $\widetilde{\pi_\lambda}$ of $M_\diamond A_\diamond N$ on the representation space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda}$ of π_λ. Compare [2]. Consider the unitarily induced representations

$$\pi_{\lambda,\chi} := \mathrm{Ind}_{M_\diamond A_\diamond N}^{MAN} (\chi \otimes \widetilde{\pi_\lambda}) \text{ for } \chi \in \widehat{M_\diamond A_\diamond} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*. \tag{4.7}$$

Note that χ is a (1–dimensional) unitary character on the commutative group $M_\diamond A_\diamond$ and that the representation space of $\chi \otimes \widetilde{\pi_\lambda}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda}$. So the representation space of $\pi_{\lambda,\chi}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\lambda,\chi}} = \\ &\{f : MAN \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_\lambda} \mid f(xman) = \delta(a)^{-1/2}\chi(ma)^{-1}\widetilde{\pi_\lambda}(man)^{-1} \cdot f(x)\,, x \in MAN, man \in M_\diamond A_\diamond N\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Unitarity of the induction requires the $\delta(a)^{-1/2}$ term, and this will show up later when we discuss Dixmier–Pukánszky operators.

Definition 4.9 The $\pi_{\lambda,\chi}$ of (4.7) are the *generic* irreducible unitary representations of MAN.

Now, specializing [12, Theorem 5.12],

Theorem 4.10 *Plancherel measure for* MAN *is concentrated on the set of all generic representations* $\pi_{\lambda,\chi}$*, and*

$$\mathcal{L}^2(MAN) = \int_{\chi \in \widehat{M_\diamond A_\diamond}} \int_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\lambda,\chi}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^*_{\pi_{\lambda,\chi}} |P(\lambda)| d\lambda\, d\chi.$$

5 Fourier Inversion on the Parabolic

In this section we describe a Fourier Inversion Formula for MAN. It uses the generic representations of Definition 4.9 and an operator to compensate non–unimodularity. That operator is the Dixmier-Pukánszky Operator on MAN and its domain is the Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}(MAN)$ of rapidly decreasing C^∞ functions.

The kernel of the modular function δ of MAN contains MN and is given on A as follows.

Lemma 5.1 [12, Lemmas 4.2 & 4.3] *Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then $\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{l}_r + \dim \mathfrak{z}_r) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leqq r \leqq m$ and*
(i) *the trace of* $\mathrm{ad}\,(\xi)$ *on $\mathfrak{l}_r$ is $\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{l}_r + \dim \mathfrak{z}_r)\beta_r(\xi)$,*
(ii) *the trace of* $\mathrm{ad}\,(\xi)$ *on $\mathfrak{n}$ and on $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\frac{1}{2}\sum_r(\dim \mathfrak{l}_r + \dim \mathfrak{z}_r)\beta_r(\xi)$, and*
(iii) *the determinant of* $\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(\xi))$ *on $\mathfrak{n}$ and on $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\prod_r \exp(\beta_r(\xi))^{\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{l}_r + \dim \mathfrak{z}_r)}$.*
The modular function $\delta = \mathrm{Det}\cdot\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{man} \mapsto \prod_{\mathrm{r}} \exp(\beta_{\mathrm{r}}(\log \mathrm{a}))^{\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{l}_{\mathrm{r}} + \dim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathrm{r}})}$.

Recall the *quasi-center determinant* $\mathrm{Det}_{\mathfrak{s}^*}(\lambda) := \prod_{\mathrm{r}}(\beta_{\mathrm{r}}(\lambda))^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_{\mathrm{r}}}}$. It is a polynomial function on $\mathfrak{s}^*$, and ([12, Proposition 4.7] the product $\mathrm{Pf}\cdot\mathrm{Det}_{\mathfrak{s}^*}$ is an $\mathrm{Ad}(MAN)$-semi-invariant polynomial on $\mathfrak{s}^*$ of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{n} + \dim \mathfrak{s})$ and of weight equal to that of the modular function δ.

Our fixed decomposition $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} + \mathfrak{s}$ gives $N = VS$ where $V = \exp(\mathfrak{v})$ and $S = \exp(\mathfrak{s})$. Now define

(5.2) $\qquad D:$ Fourier transform of $\mathrm{Pf}\cdot\mathrm{Det}_{\mathfrak{s}^*}$, acting on $MAN = MAVS$ by acting on S.

See [12, Section 1] for a discussion of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}(MAN)$.

Theorem 5.3 ([12, Theorem 4.9]) *D is an invertible self-adjoint differential operator of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{n} + \dim \mathfrak{s})$ on $\mathcal{L}^2(MAN)$ with dense domain $\mathcal{C}(MAN)$, and it is $\mathrm{Ad}(MAN)$-semi-invariant of weight equal to the modular function δ. In other words $|D|$ is a Dixmier–Pukánszky Operator on MAN with domain $\mathcal{C}(MAN)$.*

For the Fourier Inversion Formula we also need to know the $\mathrm{Ad}^*(MA)$–orbits on $\mathfrak{t}^*$.

Proposition 5.4 *The $\mathrm{Ad}^*(MA)$–orbits on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ are the following.*

In cases (1) *and* (3) *of* Theorem 2.3*, the number of $\mathrm{Ad}(MA)^*$–orbits on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is 2^m. Fix nonzero $\nu_r \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$. Then the orbits are the*

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m)} = \{\lambda = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m \mid \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}^+\varepsilon_r\nu_r \text{ for } 1 \leqq r \leqq m \text{ where each } \varepsilon_r = \pm 1\}.$$

In case (2) *of* Theorem 2.3*, there is just one $\mathrm{Ad}(MA)^*$–orbit on $\mathfrak{t}^*$, i.e. $\mathrm{Ad}(MA)^*$ is transitive on $\mathfrak{t}^*$.*

Proof. The assertions follow from Proposition 4.3, as follows. In case (2) of Theorem 2.3, where $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} = 2$, $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M)$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}^*$ by independent circle groups on the $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\beta_r}$ while $\mathrm{Ad}^*(A)$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}^*$ by independent positive scalar multiplication on the $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\beta_r}$. In cases (1) and (3) of Theorem 2.3, where $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} = 1$, $\mathrm{Ad}^*(M)$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{s}^*$ while $\mathrm{Ad}^*(A)$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}^*$ by independent positive scalar multiplication on the $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\beta_r}$. □

We combine Lemma 4.6, Theorem 4.10, Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.4 for the Fourier Inversion Formula. We need notation from Proposition 5.4. For cases (1) and (3) of Theorem 2.3 we fix nonzero $\nu_r \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$; then for each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$ we have the orbit $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. As usual we write ℓ_x for left translate, $(\ell_x h)(y) = h(x^{-1}y)$ and r_y for right translate $(r_y h)(x) = h(xy)$. Using commutativity of MA and the results just mentioned, [12, Theorem 6.1] specializes as follows.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $P = MAN$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup of the real reductive Lie group G. Given a generic representation $\pi_{\lambda,\chi} \in \widehat{MAN}$, its distribution character is tempered and is given by*

$$\Theta_{\pi_{\lambda,\chi}}(f) = \mathrm{trace}\,\pi_{\lambda,\chi}(f) = \int_{MA} \chi(ma)\Theta_{\pi_\lambda}(\ell_{(ma)^{-1}} f)d(ma) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{C}(MAN).$$

where Θ_{π_λ} *is given by* Theorem 3.3. *In cases* (1) *and* (3) *of* Theorem 2.3 *the Fourier Inversion Formula is*

$$f(x) = c\sum_{\varepsilon}\int_{\lambda\in\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}\int_{\chi\in\widehat{M_\diamond A_\diamond}}\Theta_{\pi_{\lambda,\chi}}(D(r(x)f))|\mathrm{Pf}(\lambda)|d\lambda d\chi$$

where $c > 0$ *depends on* (3.2)(a) *and normalizations of Haar measures. In case* (2) *of* Theorem 2.3 *the Fourier Inversion Formula is*

$$f(x) = c\int_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{t}^*}\int_{\chi\in\widehat{M_\diamond A_\diamond}}\Theta_{\pi_{\lambda,\chi}}(D(r(x)f))|\mathrm{Pf}(\lambda)|d\lambda d\chi$$

where, again, $c > 0$ *depends on* (3.2)(a) *and normalizations of Haar measures.*

6 Appendix: Explicit Decompositions

One can see the decompositions of Section 3 explicitly. This is closely related to the computations in [10, Section 8]. As noted in Corollary 2.4, the only case of Theorem 2.3 where there is any divisibility is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(\ell, \ell+1)$, and in that case the only divisibility is given by the $\left\{\frac{1}{2}\beta_r\,,\beta_r\right\} \subset \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$. For ease of terminology we say that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is *nonmultipliable* if $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ implies $2\alpha \notin \Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$, *multipliable* otherwise.

We first consider the multipliable case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(\ell, \ell+1)$  Here $\psi_i = \alpha_i|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ where $\{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2\ell}\}$ are the simple roots of $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in the usual order. The multipliable roots in $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ are just the $\frac{1}{2}\beta_r = (\alpha_r+\cdots+\alpha_\ell)|_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $1 \leqq r \leqq \ell$, where $\beta_r = 2(\psi_r+\cdots+\psi_\ell) = (\alpha_r+\cdots+\alpha_{2\ell-r+1})|_{\mathfrak{a}}$. If $\alpha+\alpha' = \beta_r$ then, either $\alpha = \alpha' = \frac{1}{2}\beta_r$, or one of α, α' has form $\gamma_{r,u} = \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_u$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,u} = \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_u+2(\psi_{u+1}+\cdots+\psi_\ell)$. Now $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}+\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}\beta_r}+\sum_{r\leqq u\leqq n}(\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma_{r,u}}+\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma'_{r,u}})$. The conditions of (3.1) follow by inspection.

For the rest of this section we assume that $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{su}(\ell,\ell+1)$, in other words, following Corollary 2.4, that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is nonmultipliable.

First suppose that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type $A_{\ell-1}$:  Then $m = [\ell/2]$ and $\beta_r = \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_{\ell-r}$. If $\alpha\,,\alpha' \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ with $\alpha+\alpha' = \beta_r$ then one of $\alpha\,,\alpha'$ must have form $\gamma_{r,s} := \psi_r+\cdots+\psi_s$ and the other must be $\gamma'_{r,s} := \psi_{s+1}+\cdots+\psi_{\ell-r}$. Thus $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}+\sum_{r\leqq s<\ell-r}(\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma_{r,s}}+\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma'_{r,s}})$. The conditions of (3.1) follow by inspection.

Next suppose that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type B_n:  Then $\beta_1 = \psi_1+2(\psi_2+\cdots+\psi_n)$, $\beta_2 = \psi_1$, $\beta_3 = \psi_3+2(\psi_4+\cdots+\psi_n)$, $\beta_4 = \psi_3$, etc. If r is even, $\beta_r = \psi_{r-1}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$.

Now let r be odd, $\beta_r = \psi_r+2(\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_n)$. If α, $\alpha' \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ with $\alpha+\alpha' = \beta_r$ then one possibility is that one of $\alpha\,,\alpha'$ has form $\gamma_{r,u} := \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_u$ and the other is $\gamma'_{r,u} := \psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_u+2(\psi_{u+1}+\cdots+\psi_n)$ with $r < u < n$. Another possibility is that one of $\alpha\,,\alpha'$ is $\gamma_{r,u}-\psi_r$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,u}+\psi_r$. A third is that one of $\alpha\,,\alpha'$ is $\gamma_{r,n} := \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_n$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,n} := \psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_n$. Then $\mathfrak{l}_r$ is the sum of $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$ with the sum of all these possible $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha+\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha'}$, and the conditions of (3.1) follow by inspection.

Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ be of type C_n:  Then $\beta_r = 2(\psi_r+\dots\psi_{n-1})+\psi_n$ for $1 \leqq r < n$, and $\beta_n = \psi_n$. If $\alpha+\alpha' = \beta_r$ with $r < n$ then one of α, α' has form $\gamma_{r,u} = \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_u$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,u} = \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_u+2(\psi_{u+1}+\cdots+\psi_{n-1})+\psi_n$, $r \leqq u < n$. Note that $\gamma_{r,n-1} = \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_{n-1}$ and $\gamma'_{r,n-1} = \psi_r+\psi_{r+1}+\cdots+\psi_n$. Now $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}+\sum_{r\leqq u<n}(\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma_{r,u}}+\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma'_{r,u}})$. The conditions of (3.1) follow by inspection.

Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ be of type D_n:  Then $\beta_1 = \psi_1 + 2(\psi_2 + \cdots + \psi_{n-2}) + \psi_{n-1} + \psi_n$, $\beta_2 = \psi_1$, $\beta_3 = \psi_3 + 2(\psi_4 + \cdots + \psi_{n-2}) + \psi_{n-1} + \psi_n$, $\beta_4 = \psi_3$, etc., until $r = n-3$.

If n is even then $m = n$, $\beta_{n-3} = \psi_{n-3} + 2\psi_{n-2} + \psi_{n-1} + \psi_n$, $\beta_{n-2} = \psi_{n-3}$, $\beta_{n-1} = \psi_{n-1}$ and $\beta_n = \psi_n$. Then, if $r \leqq n-2$ is even we have $\beta_r = \psi_{r-1}$. Thus $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$ for n even and either r even or $r = n-1$.

If n is odd then $m = n-1$, $\beta_{n-2} = \psi_{n-2} + \psi_{n-1} + \psi_n$ and $\beta_{n-1} = \psi_{n-2}$. Thus $\beta_r = \psi_{r-1}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$ for n odd and r even.

That leaves the cases where r is odd and $r \neq n-1$, so $\beta_r = \psi_r + 2(\psi_{r+1} + \cdots + \psi_{n-2}) + \psi_{n-1} + \psi_n$. If $\alpha + \alpha' = \beta_r$, one possibility is that one of α, α' is of the form $\gamma_{r,u} := \psi_r + (\psi_{r+1} + \cdots + \psi_u)$ with $r+1 \leqq u \leqq n-2$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,u} := (\psi_{r+1} + \cdots + \psi_u) + 2(\psi_{u+1} + \cdots + \psi_{n-2}) + \psi_{n-1} + \psi_n$, or that one of α, α' is of the form $\gamma_{r,u} - \psi_r$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,u} + \psi_r$. A third possibility is that one of α, α' is $\gamma_{r,n-1} := \psi_r + \psi_{r+1} + \cdots + \psi_{n-1}$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,n-1} := \psi_{r+1} + \cdots + \psi_{n-2} + \psi_n$. The fourth possibility is that one of α, α' is $\gamma_{r,n-1} - \psi_{n-1} + \psi_n$ while the other is $\gamma'_{r,n-1} + \psi_{n-1} - \psi_n$. Then $\mathfrak{l}_r$ is the sum of $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r}$ with the sum of all these possible $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha'}$, and the conditions of (3.1) follow by inspection.

Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ be of type G_2  . Then $\beta_1 = 3\psi_1 + 2\psi_2$ and $\beta_2 = \psi_1$. If $\alpha + \alpha' = \beta_1$ then either one of α, α' is $3\psi_1 + \psi_2$ and the other is ψ_2, or one of α, α' is $2\psi_1 + \psi_2$ and the other is $\psi_1 + \psi_2$. Thus $\mathfrak{l}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_1} + (\mathfrak{g}_{3\psi_1+\psi_2} + \mathfrak{g}_{\psi_2}) + (\mathfrak{g}_{2\psi_1+\psi_2} + \mathfrak{g}_{\psi_1+\Psi_2})$ and $\mathfrak{l}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_2}$. The conditions of (3.1) follow.

Suppose that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type F_4  . Then $\beta_1 = 2\psi_1 + 3\psi_2 + 4\psi_4 + 2\psi_4$, $\beta_2 = \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4$, $\beta_3 = \psi_2 + 2\psi_3$ and $\beta_4 = \psi_2$. Thus $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} + \sum_{(\gamma,\gamma')\in S_r} (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma + \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma'})$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_1 = \{&(\psi_1,\ \psi_1 + 3\psi_2 + 4\psi_3 + 2\psi_4), (\psi_1 + \psi_2,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 4\psi_3 + 2\psi_4),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 3\psi_3 + 2\psi_4), (\psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 3\psi_3 + \psi_4), (\psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + \psi_4,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + \psi_4);\\
S_2 = \{&\{\psi_4,\ \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + \psi_4\}, \{\psi_3 + \psi_4,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4\}\};\ S_3 = \{\psi_3,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3\} \text{ and } S_4 = \emptyset
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditions of (3.1) follow.

Suppose that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type E_6  Then the strongly orthogonal roots β_i are given by $\beta_1 = \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6$, $\beta_2 = \psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6$, , $\beta_3 = \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5$ and $\beta_4 = \psi_4$. Now $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} + \sum_{(\gamma,\gamma')\in S_r} (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma + \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma'})$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_1 = \{&(\psi_2, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_2 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_2 + \psi_4, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6),\\
&(\psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6),\\
&(\psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6),\\
&(\psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5)\};\\
S_2 = \{&(\psi_1, \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_6, \psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_1 + \psi_3, \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_4)\};\\
S_3 = \{&(\psi_3, \psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_5, \psi_3 + \psi_4)\} \text{ and } S_4 = \emptyset.
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditions of (3.1) follow.

Next, suppose that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ is of type E_7  . Then the strongly orthogonal roots are $\beta_1 = 2\psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 3\psi_3 + 4\psi_4 + 3\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7$, $\beta_2 = \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7$, $\beta_3 = \psi_7$,

$\beta_4 = \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5$, $\beta_5 = \psi_2$, $\beta_6 = \psi_3$ and $\beta_7 = \psi_5$. Now $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} + \sum_{(\gamma,\gamma')\in S_r}(\mathfrak{g}_\gamma + \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma'})$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 = \{&(\psi_1,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 3\psi_3 + 4\psi_4 + 3\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_3,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 4\psi_4 + 3\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_4,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 3\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 3\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7,\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 3\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7,\ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + 2\psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6)\};
\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 = \{&(\psi_6, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7), (\psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_6 + \psi_7, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&(\psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6, \psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7), (\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7, \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6)\}\\
S_4 = \{&(\psi_4,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_2 + \psi_4,\ \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_3 + \psi_4,\ \psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_4 + \psi_5,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4)\}
\end{aligned}$$

and $S_3 = S_5 = S_6 = S_7 = \emptyset$. The conditions of (3.1) follow.

Finally suppose that $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ is of type E_8 

. Then $\beta_1 = 2\psi_1 + 3\psi_2 + 4\psi_3 + 6\psi_4 + 5\psi_5 + 4\psi_6 + 3\psi_7 + 2\psi_8$, $\beta_2 = 2\psi_1 + 2\psi_2 + 3\psi_3 + 4\psi_4 + 3\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7$, $\beta_3 = \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + 2\psi_6 + \psi_7$, $\beta_4 = \psi_7$, $\beta_5 = \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5$, $\beta_6 = \psi_2$, $\beta_7 = \psi_3$, and $\beta_8 = \psi_5$. Now $\mathfrak{l}_r = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_r} + \sum_{(\gamma,\gamma')\in S_r}(\mathfrak{g}_\gamma + \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma'})$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_4 = S_6 = S_7 = S_8 = \emptyset\\
&S_5 = \{(\psi_4,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_2 + \psi_4,\ \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5),\\
&\qquad (\psi_3 + \psi_4,\ \psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5), (\psi_4 + \psi_5,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4)\};\\
&S_3 = \{(\psi_6,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7), (\psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&\qquad (\psi_6 + \psi_7,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + 2\psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&\qquad (\psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + 2\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6), (\psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7),\\
&\qquad (\psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6,\ \psi_2 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7), (\psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6 + \psi_7,\ \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6)\};
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_1 = \{&(\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+3\psi_2+4\psi_3+6\psi_4+5\psi_5+4\psi_6+3\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+3\psi_2+4\psi_3+6\psi_4+5\psi_5+4\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+3\psi_2+4\psi_3+6\psi_4+5\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+3\psi_2+4\psi_3+6\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+3\psi_2+4\psi_3+5\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_2+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+2\psi_2+4\psi_3+5\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+3\psi_2+3\psi_3+5\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+3\psi_2+3\psi_3+5\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_2+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+5\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+5\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+4\psi_4+4\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad 2\psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+3\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+2\psi_7+\psi_8, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7+\psi_8)\};
\end{aligned}
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_2 = \{&(\psi_1, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+3\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_3, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+4\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_3+\psi_4, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+\psi_4, \quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+3\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5, \quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6, \quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5, \quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),\\
&(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6, \quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7),
\end{aligned}
$$

S_2 continued on next page

S_2 continued from previous page

$(\psi_1+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7,\quad \psi_1+2\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6),$
$(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5,\quad \psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+2\psi_6+\psi_7),$
$(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6,\quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7),$
$(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7,\quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+3\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6),$
$(\psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6,\quad \psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7),$
$(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6,\quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7),$
$(\psi_1+\psi_2+\psi_3+2\psi_4+\psi_5+\psi_6+\psi_7,\quad \psi_1+\psi_2+2\psi_3+2\psi_4+2\psi_5+\psi_6)\}.$

References

[1] I. Beltita & D. Beltita, Coadjoint orbits of stepwise square integrable representations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), 1343–1350.

[2] M. Duflo, Sur les extensions des représentations irréductibles des groupes de Lie nilpotents, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **5** (1972), 71–120.

[3] G. W. Mackey, Induced representations of locally compact groups, I, Ann. of Math. **55** (1952), 101–139.

[4] G. W. Mackey, "Theory of Group Representations", University of Chicago lecture notes, 1955.

[5] G. W. Mackey, Unitary representations of group extensions, I, Acta Math. **99** (1958), 265–311.

[6] I. Satake, Unitary representations of a semidirect product on $\overline{\partial}$–cohomology spaces, Math. Ann. **190** (1971), 117–202.

[7] C. C. Moore & J. A. Wolf, Square integrable representations of nilpotent groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **185** (1973), 445–462.

[8] J. A. Wolf, Representations of certain semidirect product groups, J. Functional Anal., **19** (1975), 339–372

[9] J. A. Wolf, "Spaces of Constant Curvature, Sixth Edition", American Mathematical Society, 2011. The result referenced in this paper is the same in all editions.

[10] J. A. Wolf, Plancherel Formulae associated to Filtrations of nilpotent Lie groups, {arXiv: 1212.1908}.

[11] J. A. Wolf, Stepwise square integrable representations of nilpotent Lie groups, Mathematische Annalen **357** (2013), 895–914.

[12] J. A. Wolf, The Plancherel Formula for minimal parabolic subgroups, Journal of Lie Theory **24** (2014), 791–808

[13] J. A. Wolf, Stepwise square integrable representations for locally nilpotent Lie groups, Transformation Groups **20** (2015), 863–879.

[14] J. A. Wolf, Stepwise Square Integrability for nilradicals of parabolic subgroups and maximal amenable subgroups, to appear. {arXiv: 1605.06191 (math.RT, math.FA)}